

Analysis and Probability over Infinite Extensions of a Local Field

Anatoly N. Kochubei

Institute of Mathematics,
Ukrainian National Academy of Sciences,
Tereshchenkivska 3, Kiev, 252601 Ukraine

Abstract

We consider an infinite extension K of a local field of zero characteristic which is a union of an increasing sequence of finite extensions. K is equipped with an inductive limit topology; its conjugate $\overline{K}$ is a completion of K with respect to a topology given by certain explicitly written seminorms. We construct and study a Gaussian measure, a Fourier transform, a fractional differentiation operator and a cadlag Markov process on $\overline{K}$. If we deal with Galois extensions then all these objects are Galois-invariant.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (1991): 28C20, 43A32, 46S10, 60B15, 60J30, 11S80.

Key words: local field, field extension, Gaussian measure, Fourier transform, stable process, fractional differentiation.

1 Introduction

Much of the recent activity in p -adic analysis has been concentrated on pseudo-differential operators acting on spaces of complex-valued functions and distributions over local fields [VVZ, Ha2, K1-K4], potential theory and stochastic processes on local fields [AK, Bl, E2, E4, Ha1, K1, K5, KV, Va, Y].

All those results, as well as their counterparts in real analysis, use in a very strong way the local compactness of the underlying field; in particular they rely on existence of the Haar measure. On the other hand, the calculus over infinite-dimensional vector spaces where no invariant measure can exist is among well-established topics of real analysis; see [AH, BK, DF, Hi, Kuo, VTC]. Some general principles of such a calculus for the p -adic situation were introduced by Evans [E1]; the first constructive results were obtained by Madrecki [Ma] and Satoh [Sa].

The well-known Minlos theorem (see e.g. [DF]) states that every continuous positive-definite function on a real nuclear locally convex topological vector space X is the Fourier transform of some Radon measure on the conjugate space X^* equipped with the $*$ -weak topology. It was shown by Madrecki [Ma] that a similar result in the p -adic case holds without any nuclearity assumptions. This agrees with the fact [PT] that any locally convex space over a p -adic local field is nuclear in the sense of Grothendieck.

Satoh's paper [Sa] is devoted to a p -adic version of the theory of abstract Wiener spaces (see [Kuo]). This leads to a construction of Wiener-type measures on some non-Archimedean Banach spaces; in particular, a certain space of power series has been considered.

Note that while a large part of the real infinite-dimensional analysis is devoted to the study of measures, function spaces and operators over a Hilbert space, there is no clear counterpart of a Hilbert space in the p -adic case. However there are other infinite-dimensional spaces over p -adics which are of purely arithmetical nature and constitute a natural arena for developing analysis. These are infinite extensions of local fields.

In this paper we consider an infinite extension K of a local field k , $\text{char } k = 0$, which is a union of an increasing sequence $k = K_1 \subset \dots \subset K_n \subset \dots$ of finite extensions. The field K is a topological vector space over k with the inductive limit topology. Its conjugate $\overline{K}$ is a completion of K with respect to a certain topology defined in arithmetical terms. We construct a Radon measure on $\overline{K}$ which is Gaussian in the sense of Evans [E1] and possesses some (partial) invariance properties. A version of the Fourier-Wiener transform is introduced over $\overline{K}$, and Fourier images of certain test functions are described. This allows to define and study a pseudo-differential operator over $\overline{K}$ similar to the fractional differentiation operator over a local field extensively investigated in [VVZ, Ha1, K1, K4]. This operator is proved to be a generator of a Markov process on $\overline{K}$, an analogue of the symmetric stable process. If we deal with Galois extensions then all these objects are invariant with respect to the Galois group of the extension K/k .

The class of extensions under consideration includes the maximal unramified extension (here K_n/k is the unramified extension of the degree $n!$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$). Some other examples can be found in [FV].

2 An Infinite Extension as a Topological Vector Space

1. Let k be a non-Archimedean local field of zero characteristic (thus k is a finite extension of the field of p -adic numbers; for the basics about local fields see [CF, FV, W]). Consider an increasing sequence of its finite extensions

$$k = K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots \subset K_n \subset \dots$$

For each $n = 1, 2, \dots$ we denote by $|\cdot|_n$ a normalized absolute value in K_n ; if $N_n : K_n \rightarrow k$ is a norm mapping then $|x|_n = |N_n(x)|_1$. We shall use also a (non-normalized) absolute value $\|x\| = |x|_n^{1/m_n}$ ($x \in K_n$) which coincides with $|x|_1$ for $x \in k$ being defined correctly on the infinite extension $K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$.

Here m_n is the degree of the extension K_n/k .

Let us consider, for each n , a mapping $T_n : K \rightarrow K_n$ defined as follows. If $x \in K_\nu$, $\nu > n$, put

$$T_n(x) = \frac{m_n}{m_\nu} \text{Tr}_{K_\nu/K_n}(x)$$

where $\text{Tr}_{K_\nu/K_n} : K_\nu \rightarrow K_n$ is the trace mapping (note that the degree of the extension K_ν/K_n equals m_ν/m_n). If $x \in K_n$ then, by definition, $T_n(x) = x$. It follows from the transitivity property of traces that T_n is well-defined and $T_n \circ T_\nu = T_n$ for $\nu > n$. Below we shall often write T instead of T_1 .

Note that the equality $T_n(x) = 0$ for all n implies $x = 0$. Indeed, if $x \in K$ then $x \in K_l$ for some l , and $T_l(x) = x$.

The field K may be considered as a separable topological vector space over k with the inductive limit topology (see [T] for the theory of topological vector spaces over local fields).

Denote by $\overline{K}$ the projective limit of the sequence $\{K_n\}$ with respect to the mappings $\{T_n\}$. It is defined as a subset of the direct product $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ consisting of those $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots)$, $x_n \in K_n$, for which $x_n = T_n(x_\nu)$ if $\nu > n$. The topology in $\overline{K}$ is introduced by seminorms

$$\|x\|_n = \|x_n\|, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Both spaces K and $\overline{K}$ are complete and reflexive [T, Mo].

An element $x \in K$ may be identified with $(x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in \overline{K}$ where $x_n = T_n(x)$. Thus K may be viewed as a subset of $\overline{K}$. the mappings T_n can be extended to linear continuous mappings from $\overline{K}$ to K_n by setting $T_n(x) = x_n$ for any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in \overline{K}$.

Proposition 1 *The topological vector spaces K and $\overline{K}$ are the strong duals of each other, with the pairing defined by the formula*

$$\langle x, y \rangle = T(xy_n)$$

where $x \in K_n \subset K$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots) \in \overline{K}$, $y_n \in K_n$. As a subset of $\overline{K}$, K is dense in $\overline{K}$.

Proof. Each field K_n may be identified as a topological vector space over k with its dual K_n^* – if $\varphi \in K_n^*$ then there exists a unique element $a_\varphi \in K_n$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = T(a_\varphi x), \quad x \in K_n,$$

and φ may be identified with a_φ .

Let $\nu > n$. The imbedding $\tau_{n\nu} : K_n \rightarrow K_\nu$ determines (due to the above identification) the adjoint mapping $\tau_{n\nu}^* : K_\nu \rightarrow K_n$. We shall show that $\tau_{n\nu}^* = T_n|_{K_\nu}$.

Indeed, if $x \in K_n$, $\psi \in K_\nu^*$ then

$$\psi(\tau_{n\nu}(x)) = \varphi(x)$$

where $\varphi = \tau_{n\nu}^*(\psi) \in K_n^*$. This means that for any $x \in K_n$

$$\frac{1}{m_\nu} \text{Tr}_{K_\nu/k}(a_\psi x) = \frac{1}{m_n} \text{Tr}_{K_n/k}(a_\varphi x),$$

$a_\varphi \in K_n$, $a_\psi \in K_\nu$. On the other hand,

$$\text{Tr}_{K_\nu/k}(a_\psi x) = \text{Tr}_{K_n/k}(\text{Tr}_{K_\nu/K_n}(a_\psi x)) = \text{Tr}_{K_n/k}(x \text{Tr}_{K_\nu/K_n}(a_\psi))$$

whence $a_\varphi = \frac{m_n}{m_\nu} \text{Tr}_{K_\nu/K_n}(a_\psi) = T_n(a_\psi)$.

Now the duality result follows from the Komatsu-Morita duality theorem [Mo].

Let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots) \in \overline{K}$. Consider a sequence $\{y^{(n)}\} \subset \overline{K}$ of elements $y^{(n)} = y_n \in K$ viewed as lying in $\overline{K}$. For each $j = 1, 2, \dots$ we have

$$T_j(y_n) = y_j, \quad n \geq j.$$

Hence $\|y^{(n)} - y\|_j \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each j , so that K is dense in $\overline{K}$. $\square$

Corollary 1 *The space $\overline{K}$ is separable.*

Proof. One can take a countable dense subset in each K_n ; their union is countable and dense in K with respect to the topology induced from $\overline{K}$, thus it is dense in $\overline{K}$. $\square$

2. Suppose that K_n/k is a Galois extension for each n with the Galois group G_n . Then K/k is a Galois extension [Bo], its Galois group G is a projective limit of the groups G_n [K]. The group G consists of sequences $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots)$, $g_n \in G_n$, such that the restriction of an automorphism g_ν , $\nu > n$, to K_n coincides with g_n . The group operations in G are defined component-wise; if $x \in K$ then $x \in K_n$ for some n , and $g(x) = g_n(x)$.

Let us consider elements $x, y \in K$. Suppose that $y \in K_n$ for some n . Then for any $g \in G$

$$T(g(x)y) = T(g_n(x)y) = m_n^{-1} \text{Tr}_{K_n/k}(g_n(x)y) = m_n^{-1} \text{Tr}_{K_n/k}(xg_n^{-1}(y))$$

due to the G -invariance of the trace. Hence,

$$T(g(x)y) = T(xg^{-1}(y)), \quad x, y \in K. \quad (1)$$

The relation (1) shows that the action of G on K is continuous with respect to the topology induced on K by the $*$ -weak topology of $\overline{K}$. Since K is strongly (and, of course, $*$ -weakly) dense in $\overline{K}$, we come to the following result.

Proposition 2 *The action of the Galois group G on K can be extended by continuity to its $*$ -weakly continuous action on $\overline{K}$.*

3 Measure and Integration

1. Let us start from considering various σ -algebras of subsets in $\overline{K}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{B}(\overline{K})$ the Borel σ -algebra over $\overline{K}$ with respect to the strong topology of the (non-Archimedean) Frechet space $\overline{K}$; the Borel σ -algebra with respect to the $*$ -weak topology will be denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\overline{K}, K)$. We shall consider also the smallest σ -algebra $\mathcal{A}(\overline{K})$ for which all the linear continuous functionals $\overline{K} \rightarrow k$ are measurable.

Proposition 3 *The above σ -algebras coincide:*

$$\mathcal{A}(\overline{K}) = \mathcal{B}(\overline{K}, K) = \mathcal{B}(\overline{K}).$$

Proof. It is clear that

$$\mathcal{A}(\overline{K}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\overline{K}, K) \subset \mathcal{B}(\overline{K}).$$

Hence it is sufficient to prove that $\mathcal{A}(\overline{K}) = \mathcal{B}(\overline{K})$ or (by definition of the topology in $\overline{K}$) that the set

$$V_n = \{x \in \overline{K} : \|x\|_n \leq 1\}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{A}(\overline{K})$.

Consider its polar

$$V_n^0 = \{\xi \in K : |\langle \xi, x \rangle|_1 \leq 1 \quad \forall x \in V_n\}.$$

By the bipolar theorem ([T], Theorem 4.14)

$$V_n = \{x \in \overline{K} : \sup_{\xi \in V_n^0} |\langle \xi, x \rangle|_1 \leq 1\}.$$

On the other hand, V_n^0 is equicontinuous [T] (here we look at K as the dual of $\overline{K}$). Since $\overline{K}$ is separable we find (just as in the Archimedean case, see [Sch]) that the $*$ -weak topology on V_n^0 is metrisable. Now being $*$ -weakly compact [T] and metrisable, the set V_n^0 is separable in the $*$ -weak topology. This implies the existence of such a countable subset $M \subset V_n^0$ that

$$V_n = \{x \in \overline{K} : \sup_{\xi \in M} |\langle \xi, x \rangle|_1 \leq 1\} = \bigcap_{\xi \in M} \{x \in \overline{K} : |\langle \xi, x \rangle|_1 \leq 1\}.$$

Thus $V_n \in \mathcal{A}(\overline{K})$. $\square$.

Similar results in the framework of real analysis can be found in [VTC].

2. Let us recall (in a form convenient for subsequent use) some results from harmonic analysis on local fields [GGP, ST].

Denote by q_n the residue field cardinality for the field K_n , and by d_n the exponent of the different for the extension K_n/k . The Haar measure on the additive group of the field K_n will be denoted dx (the dependence on n is not shown; however this will not lead to any confusion). This measure will be normalized by the relation

$$\int_{\|x\| \leq 1} dx = 1.$$

Using the relation $d(ax) = |a|_n dx$, $a \in K_n$, we find that

$$\int_{|x|_n \leq q_n^\nu} dx = q_n^\nu; \quad \int_{|x|=q_n^\nu} dx = (1 - q_n^{-1})q_n^\nu, \quad \nu \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Let χ be a rank zero additive character on k . Then for any $a \in K_n$, $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}$

$$\int_{|x|_n \leq q_n^\nu} \chi \circ \text{Tr}_{K_n/k}(ax) dx = \begin{cases} q_n^\nu, & \text{if } |a|_n \leq q_n^{d_n - \nu} \\ 0, & \text{if } |a|_n > q_n^{d_n - \nu} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$\int_{|x|_n = q_n^\nu} \chi \circ \text{Tr}_{K_n/k}(ax) dx = \begin{cases} (1 - q_n^{-1})q_n^\nu, & \text{if } |a|_n \leq q_n^{d_n - \nu} \\ -q_n^{\nu-1}, & \text{if } |a|_n = q_n^{d_n - \nu + 1} \\ 0, & \text{if } |a|_n \geq q_n^{d_n - \nu + 2} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

The Fourier transform (defined initially on $L_1(K_n)$, with an isometric extension onto $L_2(K_n)$) will be defined as

$$\tilde{f}(\xi) = q_n^{-d_n/2} \int_{K_n} \chi \circ \text{Tr}_{K_n/k}(x\xi) f(x) dx, \quad (4)$$

with the inversion formula

$$f(x) = q_n^{-d_n/2} \int_{K_n} \chi \circ \text{Tr}_{K_n/k}(-x\xi) \tilde{f}(\xi) d\xi, \quad (5)$$

valid, for example, for a locally constant complex valued function f with a compact support. The class $\mathcal{D}(K_n)$ of such functions is invariant under the Fourier transform.

In particular, if

$$\Omega_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \|x\| \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } \|x\| > 1 \end{cases}$$

($x \in K_n$) then by (2) and (4)

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_n(\xi) = \begin{cases} q_n^{-d_n/2}, & \text{if } \|\xi\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n} \\ 0, & \text{if } \|\xi\| > q_n^{d_n/m_n} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

($\xi \in K_n$).

Note that a cutoff of the Haar measure concentrated on some ball $\{x \in K_n : |x|_n \leq q_n^\nu\}$ was found by Evans [E1] to be the only natural p -adic counterpart of the Gaussian measure.

3. Let us consider a function $\Omega(x)$, $x \in K$, given by the formula

$$\Omega(x) = \Omega_n(x), \quad x \in K_n.$$

This function (which is clearly well-defined) is continuous on K by the definition of the inductive limit topology. It follows from (6) and (5) that Ω_n is a positive definite on each K_n . Hence, Ω is a positive definite function on K .

Using Madrecki's theorem [Ma] and Propositions 1,3 we establish the existence of a unique Radon probability measure μ on $\mathcal{B}(\overline{K})$ such that

$$\Omega(a) = \int_{\overline{K}} \chi(\langle a, x \rangle) d\mu(x), \quad a \in K. \quad (7)$$

We begin our study of properties of the measure μ with integrating a "cylindrical" function of the form $f(x) = \varphi(T_n(x))$ where $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(K_n)$. The set of all such functions will be denoted by $\mathcal{D}(\overline{K})$. Note that $\mathcal{D}(\overline{K})$ is a linear set - if $f(x) = \varphi(T_n(x)) + \psi(T_\nu(x))$, $\nu > n$, then $f(x) = (\varphi \circ T_n + \psi)(T_\nu(x))$.

The function φ can be written as

$$\varphi(y) = \int_{K_n} \chi(T(ay)) \psi(a) da, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{D}(K_n),$$

where ψ is the inverse Fourier transform of the function $q_n^{-d_n/2} \varphi(m_n y)$. By (7) and Fubini theorem, using the relation $T \circ T_n = T$ we find that

$$\int_{\overline{K}} f(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{a \in K_n: \|a\| \leq 1} \psi(a) da.$$

In view of (6) the Plancherel formula implies

$$\int_{\overline{K}} f(x) d\mu(x) = q_n^{-d_n} \int_{y \in K_n: \|y\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n}} \varphi(m_n y) dy$$

whence

$$\int_{\overline{K}} f(x) d\mu(x) = q_n^{-d_n} \|m_n\|^{-m_n} \int_{z \in K_n: \|z\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n\|} \varphi(z) dz. \quad (8)$$

By an approximation argument, we can easily show now that the formula (8) is valid for any function $f(x) = \varphi(T_n(x))$ with $\varphi \in L_1(K_n)$.

In the terminology of Evans [E1], Proposition 3 means that the pair $(\overline{K}, \mathcal{B}(\overline{K}))$ is a measurable vector space, so that there is a notion of a Gaussian measure on $(\overline{K}, \mathcal{B}(\overline{K}))$. The latter is understood as a distribution of a Gaussian random variable which is defined as follows.

Let X be a random variable with values in k defined on some probability space. X is Gaussian if when X_1, X_2 are two independent copies of X and $(\alpha_{11}, \alpha_{12}), (\alpha_{21}, \alpha_{22}) \in k \oplus k$ are orthonormal then the pair (X_1, X_2) has the same distribution as the pair $(\alpha_{11}X_1 + \alpha_{12}X_2, \alpha_{21}X_1 + \alpha_{22}X_2)$. Here orthogonality is understood in non-Archimedean sense (see e.g. [NBB]).

Proposition 4 μ is a Gaussian measure on $(\overline{K}, \mathcal{B}(\overline{K}))$.

Proof. Let $a \in K$, B be a Borel subset of k , $\varphi_B : k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be an indicator of the set B . Consider the function

$$I(a) = \int_{\overline{K}} \varphi_B(\langle a, x \rangle) d\mu(x), \quad a \in K.$$

By the results of Evans, it suffices to prove that

$$I(a) = q_1^m \int_{z \in B, |z|_1 \leq q_1^{-m}} dz \quad (9)$$

for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Repeating the argument from the proof of (8) we find that

$$I(a) = \int_k \varphi_B(z) dz \int_{|y|_1 \leq \|a\|^{-1}} \chi(-zy) dy.$$

Let $a \in K_n$, $|a|_n = q_n^N$, $N \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then $\|a\| = q_n^{N/m_n} = q_1^{Nf_n/m_n} = q_1^{N/e_n}$ where f_n, e_n are the modular degree and the ramification index of the extension K_n/k .

By the identity (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y|_1 \leq \|a\|^{-1}} \chi(-zy) dy &= \int_{|y|_1 \leq q_1^{-N/e_n}} \chi(zy) dy = \int_{|y|_1 \leq q_1^{[-N/e_n]}} \chi(zy) dy = \\ &= \begin{cases} q_1^{[-N/e_n]}, & \text{if } |z|_1 \leq q_1^{-[-N/e_n]} \\ 0, & \text{if } |z|_1 > q_1^{-[-N/e_n]}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and we have come to (9) with $m = [-N/e_n]$. $\square$

Several important properties of the measure μ are collected in the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (a) *The measure μ is concentrated on the set*

$$S = \{x \in \overline{K} : \|T_n(x)\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n\|, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

(b) *The measure μ is invariant with respect to additive shifts by elements from S .*

(c) *An additive shift by an element from $\overline{K} \setminus S$ transforms μ into a measure orthogonal to μ .*

(d) *if $a \in K_n$ then*

$$\text{ess sup}_{x \in \overline{K}} |\langle a, x \rangle|_1 = q_1^{-[-N/e_n]} \quad (10)$$

where $|a|_n = q_n^N$, $N \in \mathbf{Z}$.

(e) *The set $\mathcal{D}(\overline{K})$ is dense in $L_s(\overline{K})$ for any $s \in [1, \infty)$.*

Remarks. 1. It may happen that $S \cap K = \{0\}$. For example, if K is the maximal unramified extension of k then $d_n = 0$, $\|m_n\| = |n!|_1 \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, if $y \in K_r$ then $T_n(y) = y$ for $n \geq r$, and $y \in S$ implies $y = 0$.

2. The equality (10) should be compared with the expression for the L_2 -norm of a linear functional on a real Hilbert space with a Gaussian measure (see [DF]). As expected, in the non-Archimedean case the L_∞ -norm has appeared. On the other hand, the property (b) has no clear counterpart in the real infinite-dimensional analysis. Meanwhile, such properties are natural for the non-Archimedean case, in view of the general results from [E3].

Proof of Theorem 1. (a) Consider for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$ the set

$$S_n = \{x \in \overline{K} : \|T_n(x)\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n\|\}.$$

Suppose that $x \in S_\nu$, $\nu > n$. Then

$$\|T_n(x)\| = \|m_n\| \cdot \|m_\nu\|^{-1} \|\text{Tr}_{K_\nu/K_n}(T_\nu(x))\|.$$

Since $\|m_\nu^{-1}T_\nu(x)\| \leq q_\nu^{d_\nu/m_\nu}$ we have

$$|m_\nu^{-1}T_\nu(x)|_n \leq q_\nu^{d_\nu}$$

whence (see Chapter 8 in [W])

$$|m_\nu^{-1}\text{Tr}_{K_\nu/K_n}(T_\nu(x))|_n \leq q_n^l$$

where $l \in \mathbf{Z}$, $e_{n\nu}(l-1) < d_\nu - d_{n\nu} \leq e_{n\nu}l$, $e_{n\nu}$ and $d_{n\nu}$ are the ramification index and the exponent of the different for the extension K_ν/K_n . On the other hand [W], $d_\nu = e_{n\nu}d_n + d_{n\nu}$, so that $l = d_n$, and we obtain that $x \in S_n$.

Hence, $S_\nu \subset S_n$ for $\nu > n$. It follows from (8) that $\mu(S_n) = 1$ for each n . Since $S = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$, we get the desired equality $\mu(S) = 1$.

(b) It follows from the definition of the measure μ by the relation (7) that we need only to prove, for any $y \in S$ and any $a \in K$ with $\|a\| \leq 1$, the inequality

$$|\langle a, y \rangle|_1 \leq 1. \tag{11}$$

Suppose that $a \in K_n$, $\|a\| \leq 1$. Then $\langle a, y \rangle = T(ay_n) = \text{Tr}_{K_n/k}(m_n^{-1}ay_n)$, $y_n = T_n(y)$. Since $\|y_n\| \leq \|m_n\|q_n^{d_n/m_n}$ we find that

$$|m_n^{-1}ay_n|_n \leq q_n^{d_n}$$

so that the inequality (11) follows from the definition of d_n .

(c) This property is an immediate consequence of (a) and the ultrametric property of absolute values.

(d) We have shown (while proving Proposition 4) that

$$\mu\left(\left\{x \in \overline{K} : |\langle a, x \rangle|_1 > q_1^{-[-N/e_n]}\right\}\right) = 0.$$

This implies the inequality

$$\text{ess sup}_{x \in \overline{K}} |\langle a, x \rangle|_1 \leq q_1^{-[-N/e_n]}$$

To prove the inverse inequality recall that $\langle a, x \rangle = m_n^{-1} \text{Tr}_{K_n/k}(aT_n(x))$. Suppose that $|m_n|_n = q_n^\nu$, $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}$. By properties of the trace [W] there exists such an element $y_n \in K_n$ that $|y_n|_n = q_n^{\nu+d_n}$,

$$|\text{Tr}_{K_n/k}(ay_n)|_1 = q_1^l$$

where $l \in \mathbf{Z}$, $e_n(l-1) < N + \nu \leq e_n l$, whence

$$|T(ay_n)|_1 = q_1^{l-\nu/e_n} \geq q_1^{-[-N/e_n]}.$$

If a natural number M is sufficiently large then the inequality $\|z - y_n\| \leq q_1^{-M}$ ($z \in K_n$) implies the equality $|T(az)|_1 = q_1^{l-\nu/e_n}$.

Consider a subset in $\overline{K}$ of the form

$$U = \{x \in \overline{K} : \|T_n(x) - y_n\| \leq q_1^{-M}\},$$

with the number M mentioned above. If $x \in U$ then

$$|\langle a, x \rangle|_1 = |T(aT_n(x))|_1 = q_1^{l-\nu/e_n} \geq q_1^{-[-N/e_n]}.$$

On the other hand, using the formula (8) and taking into account that $\|y_n\| = \|m_n\| q_n^{d_n/m_n}$ we find that $\mu(U) \neq 0$ if M is taken sufficiently large. This means that

$$\text{ess sup}_{x \in \overline{K}} |\langle a, x \rangle|_1 \geq q_1^{-[-N/e_n]}$$

(e) Consider subsets in $\overline{K}$ having the form $A_{n,B} = \{x \in \overline{K} : T_n(x) \in B\}$ where $B \subset K_n$ is a Borel set, $n \geq 1$. If $\nu > n$ then $A_{n,B} = \{x \in \overline{K} : T_\nu(x) \in C\}$ where $C = \{y \in K_\nu : T_n(y) \in B\}$. It follows from this observation that the sets $A_{n,B}$ form a Boolean algebra of sets which of course generates $\mathcal{B}(\overline{K})$.

By general principles of measure theory this implies the existence, for any $V \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{K})$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, of such a set $A_{n,B}$ that $\mu(V \Delta A_{n,B}) < \varepsilon$ where

Δ means symmetric difference. Here one can take $B \subset \{y \in K_n : \|y\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n\|\}$.

Hence an indicator of any set from $\mathcal{B}(\overline{K})$ can be approximated in $L_s(\overline{K})$ by indicators of the sets $A_{n,B}$ which belong to $\mathcal{D}(\overline{K})$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$ be the set of functions $f(x) = \varphi(T_n(x))$ where $n \geq 1$, φ is a locally constant function on K_n . It follows from Theorem 1 that $\mathcal{E}(\overline{K}) \subset L_1(\overline{K})$, and the formula (8) remains valid for $f \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$.

4. In the case of Galois extensions (see Sect. 2.2) the measure μ is invariant with respect to the Galois group G . This follows immediately from (1) and (7).

4 Fourier Transform and Fractional Differentiation

1. We define the Fourier transform of a function $f \in L_1(\overline{K})$ as

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\overline{K}} \chi(\langle \xi, x \rangle) f(x) d\mu(x), \quad \xi \in K.$$

Note that to avoid confusion we use here the notation $\hat{f}$ in contrast to the notation $\tilde{\varphi}$ for the Fourier transform over a local field. Sometimes we shall also write $\mathcal{F}f = \hat{f}$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$, $f(x) = \varphi(T_n(x))$. An explicit form of $\hat{f}(\xi)$ can be easily obtained from (8): if $\xi \in K_\nu$, $\nu \geq n$, then

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = q_\nu^{-d_\nu} \|m_\nu\|^{-m_\nu} \int_{\zeta \in K_\nu : \|\zeta\| \leq q_\nu^{d_\nu/m_\nu} \|m_\nu\|} \chi(T(\xi\zeta)) \varphi(T_n(\zeta)) d\zeta. \quad (12)$$

Writing (12) for $\nu = n$ and using the inversion formula (5) we find that

$$\varphi(z) = \int_{K_n} \chi(-T(\xi z)) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \quad z \in K_n, \quad \|z\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n\|. \quad (13)$$

Let us describe the image of $\mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$ under the transform $\mathcal{F}$. For $\xi \in K$ we shall write

$$\text{dist}(\xi, K_n) = \inf_{\eta \in K_n} \|\xi - \eta\|.$$

Theorem 2 *A function $F(\xi)$, $\xi \in K$, is an image of some function $f \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$, $f(x) = \varphi(T_n(x))$, under the transform $\mathcal{F}$, if and only if: (i) the restriction of F to each field K_ν , $\nu \geq n$, belongs to $\mathcal{D}(K_\nu)$; (ii) $F(\xi + \xi') = F(\xi)$ for any $\xi, \xi' \in K$ with $\|\xi'\| \leq 1$; (iii) $F(\xi) = 0$ if $\text{dist}(\xi, K_n) > 1$.*

Proof. The necessity of (i) and (ii) follows immediately from (12). According to (13),

$$\varphi(T_n(\zeta)) = \int_{K_n} \chi(-T(\zeta\eta)) \hat{f}(\eta) d\eta, \quad (14)$$

if $\zeta \in K_\nu$, $\nu > n$, $\|T_n(\zeta)\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n\|$. As we have seen, the last inequality is valid if $\|\zeta\| \leq q_\nu^{d_\nu/m_\nu} \|m_\nu\|$. Thus we may substitute (14) into (12). We obtain for any $\xi \in K_\nu$ that

$$\begin{aligned} F(\xi) &= \hat{f}(\xi) = q_\nu^{-d_\nu} \|m_\nu\|^{-m_\nu} \int_{K_n} F(\eta) d\eta \int_{\substack{\zeta \in K_\nu: \|\zeta\| \leq q_\nu^{d_\nu/m_\nu} \|m_\nu\|}} \chi(T(\zeta(\xi - \eta))) d\zeta = \\ &= \int_{\eta \in K_n: \|\eta - \xi\| \leq 1} F(\eta) d\eta \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (2). Using (ii) we find that

$$F(\xi) = F(\xi) \int_{\eta \in K_n: \|\eta - \xi\| \leq 1} d\eta.$$

If $\text{dist}(\xi, K_n) > 1$ then the domain of integration is empty so that $F(\xi) = 0$. Now we turn to the sufficiency of (i)-(iii). Put

$$\varphi(z) = \int_{K_n} \chi(T(-\xi z)) F(\xi) d\xi, \quad z \in K_n, \quad (15)$$

$f(x) = \varphi(T_n(x))$, $x \in \overline{K}$. Let us compute $\hat{f}$. Suppose that $\xi \in K_\nu$, $\nu \geq n$. Then we may substitute (15) into (12). Repeating the previous calculation we obtain that

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = F(\xi) \int_{\eta \in K_n: \|\eta - \xi\| \leq 1} d\eta.$$

If $\text{dist}(\xi, K_n) > 1$ then $\hat{f}(\xi) = 0 = F(\xi)$. Let $\text{dist}(\xi, K_n) \leq 1$. There exists such an element $\sigma \in K_n$ that $\text{dist}(\xi, K_n) = \|\xi - \sigma\|$ (see [NBB]). Thus $\|\xi - \sigma\| \leq 1$, and by the ultra-metric inequality

$$\{\eta \in K_n : \|\eta - \xi\| \leq 1\} = \{\eta \in K_n : \|\eta - \sigma\| \leq 1\}.$$

whence

$$\int_{\eta \in K_n: \|\eta - \xi\| \leq 1} d\eta = \int_{\|\eta - \sigma\| \leq 1} d\eta = 1$$

due to the invariance of the Haar measure on K_n . Hence $\hat{f}(\xi) = F(\xi)$ for this case too. $\square$

2. Using (8), (12) and the isometric property of the Fourier transform over a local field we come to the Plancherel formula for $f(x) = \varphi(T_n(x))$, $f \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$: if $\nu \geq n$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\overline{K}} |f(x)|^2 d\mu(x) &= \int_{K_\nu} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \\ &= q_n^{-d_n} \|m_n\|^{-m_n} \int_{z \in K_n: \|z\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n\|} |\varphi(z)|^2 dz. \end{aligned}$$

If $g(x) = \psi(T_m(x))$ is another function from $\mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$ and $\nu \geq \max(m, n)$ then

$$\int_{\overline{K}} f(x) \overline{g(x)} d\mu(x) = \int_{K_\nu} \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{g}(\xi)} d\xi.$$

Hence, the transform $\mathcal{F}$ is the isometry of $L_2(\overline{K})$ onto the completion of the image $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$ (described in Theorem 2) with respect to the norm

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \int_{K_\nu} |F(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

3. Next we shall study an operator D^α defined (initially) on $\mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$ as

$$D^\alpha = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \Delta^\alpha \mathcal{F}, \quad \alpha > 0,$$

where Δ^α is an operator of multiplication in $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$ by the function

$$\Delta^\alpha(\xi) = \begin{cases} \|\xi\|^\alpha, & \text{if } \|\xi\| > 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } \|\xi\| \leq 1 \end{cases}, \quad \xi \in K.$$

Theorem 2 shows that D^α is well-defined. It is easy to see that D^α is essentially self-adjoint as an operator on $L_2(\overline{K})$.

Let us begin with a simple property of D^α justifying its interpretation as an analogue of fractional differentiation.

Let $f_a(x) = \chi(\langle a, x \rangle)$, $x \in \overline{K}$, where $a \in K$. Note that if $\|a\| \leq 1$ then $f_a(x) = 1$ for all $x \in S$ or, in other words, $f_a(x) = 1$ μ -almost everywhere on $\overline{K}$.

Proposition 5 *For μ -almost all $x \in \overline{K}$*

$$(D^\alpha f_a)(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \|a\| \leq 1 \\ \|a\|^\alpha f_a(x), & \text{if } \|a\| > 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose that $a \in K_n$. Then $f_a(x) = \varphi(T_n(x))$ where $\varphi(z) = \chi(T(az))$, $z \in K_n$. Using (12) and (2) we find that

$$\widehat{f_a}(\xi) = \Omega_n(\xi + a), \quad \xi \in K_n,$$

where

$$\Omega_n(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \|z\| \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } \|z\| > 1 \end{cases}$$

Thus $(D^\alpha f_a)(x) = \psi(T_n(x))$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(z) &= \int_{K_n} \chi(T(-z\xi)) \Delta^\alpha(\xi) \Omega_n(\xi + a) d\xi = \\ &= \int_{\|\xi\| > 1, \|\xi+a\| \leq 1} \|\xi\|^\alpha \chi(T(-z\xi)) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

for $\|z\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n\|$. If $\|a\| \leq 1$ then $\psi(z) = 0$. If $\|a\| > 1$ then $\|\xi\| = \|a\|$ on the whole integration domain so that

$$\psi(z) = \|a\|^\alpha \int_{\|\xi+a\| \leq 1} \chi(T(-z\xi)) d\xi = \|a\|^\alpha \chi(T(az))$$

if $\|z\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n\|$. $\square$

It follows from the definition of D^α that

$$\int_{\overline{K}} (D^\alpha f)(x) d\mu(x) = 0$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$. In other words, μ is a *harmonic measure* for D^α .

Though we are interested primarily in the operator D^α with $\alpha > 0$ (in view of some applications presented in Sect. 5 below), we shall need also the case $\alpha \leq 0$. An elementary calculation shows that

$$(D^0 f)(x) = f(x) - \int_{\overline{K}} f(y) d\mu(y), \quad x \in S, \quad (16)$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$.

It follows from Theorem 2 that $(D^\alpha f)(x)$, $x \in S$, is well-defined (if $f \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$) for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ being in fact an entire function with respect to α . It is clear that $D^{\alpha_1} D^{\alpha_2} f = D^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} f$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbf{C}$, $f \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$.

Proposition 6 *If $f \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$, $\int_{\overline{K}} f(x) d\mu(x) = 0$ then the general solution $u \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$ of the equation*

$$D^\alpha u = f, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad (17)$$

is of the form $u = D^{-\alpha} f + C$ where C is an arbitrary constant.

Proof. The function u is a solution of (17) in view of the relation (16), since $D^\alpha C = 0$ by Proposition 5. On the other hand, let $v \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$, $D^\alpha v = 0$ μ -almost everywhere on $\overline{K}$. By the definition of D^α , we find that $\hat{v}(\xi) = 0$ if $\|\xi\| > 1$. Now if $v(x) = \varphi(T_n(x))$ then

$$\varphi(z) = \int_{\|\xi\| \leq 1} \chi(T(-z\xi)) \hat{v}(\xi) d\xi = \hat{v}(0)$$

for all $z \in K_n$ with $\|z\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n\|$. This means that $v(x) = \text{const}$ μ -almost everywhere on $\overline{K}$. $\square$

4. The fractional differentiation operator on a local field admits a hyper-singular integral representation not containing the Fourier transform [VVZ]. Now we give a representation of this kind for our present situation.

Proposition 7 *Let $\alpha > 0$, $f \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$, $f(x) = \varphi(T_n(x))$. Then $(D^\alpha f)(x) = \psi(T_n(x))$ where*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(z) = q_n^{d_n \alpha / m_n} \frac{1 - q_n^{\alpha / m_n}}{1 - q_n^{-1 - \alpha / m_n}} \|m_n\|^{-m_n} \int_{x \in K_n: \|x\| \leq q_n^{d_n / m_n} \|m_n\|} \left[\|x\|^{-m_n - \alpha} \|m_n\|^{m_n + \alpha} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1 - q_n^{-1}}{q_n^{\alpha / m_n} - 1} q_n^{-d_n(1 + \alpha / m_n)} \right] [\varphi(z - x) - \varphi(z)] dx, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$z \in K_n, \quad \|z\| \leq q_n^{d_n / m_n} \|m_n\|.$$

Proof. Let us compute the Fourier transform over K_n for the function $\Delta^\lambda(\xi)$ with $\Re \lambda < -1$. We have

$$\widetilde{\Delta}^\lambda(x) = q_n^{-d_n/2} \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} q_n^{N\lambda/m_n} \int_{|\xi|_n = q_n^N} (\chi \circ \text{Tr}_{K_n/k})(x\xi) d\xi.$$

Using (3) and summing the geometric progression we obtain after elementary transformation that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Delta}^\lambda(x) = q_n^{d_n(1/2 + \lambda/m_n)} \frac{1 - q_n^{\lambda/m_n}}{1 - q_n^{-1 - \lambda/m_n}} (|x|_n^{-1 - \lambda/m_n} + \\ + q_n^{-d_n(1 + \lambda/m_n)} \frac{1 - q_n^{-1}}{q_n^{\lambda/m_n} - 1}) \omega_n(x), \quad x \in K_n, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where

$$\omega_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |x|_n \leq q_n^{d_n} \\ 0, & \text{if } |x|_n > q_n^{d_n} \end{cases}.$$

Under our normalizations the relation between Fourier transform and convolution reads $u \widetilde{*} v = q_n^{d_n/2} \widetilde{u} \widetilde{v}$ (with the usual assumptions with regard to the functions u, v). Thus for $\Re \lambda < -1$ we get $(D^\lambda f)(x) = \psi_\lambda(T_n(x))$ where

$$\psi_\lambda(m_n y) = \left(\widetilde{\Delta}^\lambda * \varphi_n \right)(y), \quad y \in K_n, \quad \|y\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n},$$

$\widetilde{\Delta}^\lambda$ is given by (19),

$$\varphi_n(\eta) = \begin{cases} q_n^{-d_n/2} \varphi(m_n \eta), & \text{if } |\eta|_n \leq q_n^{d_n} \\ 0, & \text{if } |\eta|_n > q_n^{d_n} \end{cases}.$$

Since $\Delta^\lambda(0) = 0$ we find that

$$\int_{|x|_n \leq q_n^{d_n}} \widetilde{\Delta}^\lambda(x) dx = 0, \quad \Re \lambda < -1.$$

Thus the above convolution may be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\lambda(m_n y) &= q_n^{d_n \lambda / m_n} \frac{1 - q_n^{\lambda / m_n}}{1 - q_n^{-1 - \lambda / m_n}} \int_{|x|_n \leq q_n^{d_n}} \left[|x|_n^{-1 - \lambda / m_n} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1 - q_n^{-1}}{q_n^{\lambda / m_n} - 1} q_n^{-d_n(1 + \lambda / m_n)} \right] [\varphi(m_n y - m_n x) - \varphi(m_n y)] dx \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Since the left-hand side of (20) is an entire function with respect to λ , the analytic continuation of the right-hand side leads to the required equality (18). $\square$

5 Heat Equation

1. We begin with an auxiliary equation over the local field K_n . Note that this equation is different from a local field heat equation studied in [Ha1, K1, VVZ].

Let ∂_n^α be a pseudo-differential operator over K_n with the symbol $\Delta^\alpha(\xi)$, $\alpha > 0$. It means that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(K_n)$ $(\partial_n^\alpha \varphi)(x)$, $x \in K_n$, is the inverse Fourier transform of the function $\Delta^\alpha(\xi) \widetilde{\varphi}(\xi)$, $\xi \in K_n$. As we have proved (in the course of proving Proposition 7)

$$(\partial_n^\alpha \varphi)(x) = q_n^{d_n \alpha / m_n} \frac{1 - q_n^{\alpha / m_n}}{1 - q_n^{-1 - \alpha / m_n}} \int_{|x|_n \leq q_n^{d_n}} \left[|x|_n^{-1 - \alpha / m_n} + \right.$$

$$+q_n^{-d_n(1+\alpha/m_n)} \frac{1-q_n^{-1}}{q_n^{\alpha/m_n}-1} \Big] [\varphi(x-y) - \varphi(x)] dy, \quad (21)$$

$\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(K_n)$.

Consider the equation

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} + (\partial_n^\alpha u)(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in K_n, \quad t > 0, \quad (22)$$

with an initial condition $u(x, 0) = \varphi(x)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(K_n)$. As usual, a fundamental solution of this Cauchy problem is

$$\Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}(x, t) = q_n^{-d_n} \int_{K_n} (\chi \circ \text{Tr}_{K_n/k})(-x\xi) \rho_\alpha(\|\xi\|, t) d\xi \quad (23)$$

where

$$\rho_\alpha(s, t) = \begin{cases} e^{-ts^\alpha}, & \text{if } s > 1 \\ 1, & \text{if } s \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

$s \geq 0$, $t > 0$ (note the connection between convolution and the Fourier transform; see Sect. 4.4).

It is clear that $\Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}$ is continuous on $K_n \times (0, \infty)$. If $\|x\| > q_n^{d_n/m_n}$ then we can find such an element $\xi_0 \in K_n$, $\|\xi_0\| = 1$, that $(\chi \circ \text{Tr}_{K_n/k})(-x\xi_0) \neq 1$. After the change $\xi = \xi_0 + \eta$ in (23) we find that $\Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}(x, t) = 0$. This observation allows to differentiate under the sign of integral showing that the function

$$u(x, t) = \int_{K_n} \Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}(x - y, t) \varphi(y) dy \quad (25)$$

satisfies (22).

Since $\int_{K_n} \Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}(x, t) dx = 1$ we may write

$$u(x, t) = \varphi(x) + \int_{\|y-x\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n}} \Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}(x - y, t) [\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)] dy. \quad (26)$$

On the other hand, let $|x|_n = q_n^N$, $N \leq d_n$. It follows from (23), (24) and (3) that

$$q_n^{d_n} \Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}(x, t) = 1 + (1 - q_n^{-1}) \sum_{j=1}^{d_n-N} q_n^j \rho_\alpha(q_n^j, t) - \rho_\alpha(q_n^{d_n-N+1}, t) q_n^{d_n-N}.$$

This representation shows that $\Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}(-x, t) = \Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}(x, t)$, $\Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}(x, t) \rightarrow 0$ when $x \neq 0$ and $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$|\Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}(x, t)| \leq 2q_n^{d_n/2} |x|_n^{-1}, \quad x \neq 0.$$

Returning to (26), using the local constancy of φ and the dominated convergence theorem we find that $u(x, t) \rightarrow \varphi(x)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Finally, let us prove that $\Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}(x, t) \geq 0$ for all x, t . It is sufficient to show that the function (25) is non-negative for any non-negative $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(K_n)$.

Let us fix $T > 0$. Suppose that, on the contrary, $u(x, t) < 0$ for some values of $x \in K_n$, $t \in [0, T]$. Since $u(x, t)$ vanishes as a function of x outside a compact set not depending on $t \in [0, T]$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(x, t) \geq 0$, the function $u(x, t)$ attains its negative global minimum at a certain point (x_0, t_0) where $t_0 > 0$.

It follows from (21) that $(\partial_n^\alpha u)(x_0, t_0) \leq 0$. Of course, also $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x_0, t_0) \leq 0$ so that by (22) we get

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x_0, t_0) = 0, \quad (\partial_n^\alpha u)(x_0, t_0) = 0.$$

The second equality means (see (21)) that $u(x_0, t_0)$ does not depend on x . Since $u(x, t_0)$ has a compact support, it follows that $u(x_0, t_0) = 0$, and we have come to a contradiction.

2. The relation (23) and the above properties of $\Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}$ lead to the following result.

Let us consider $\rho_\alpha(\|\xi\|, t)$ as a function on $K \times (0, \infty)$.

Proposition 8 *For each $t > 0$ the function $\rho_\alpha(\|\xi\|, t)$ is a continuous positive definite function on K .*

Now we are again in a position to use Madrecki's theorem [Ma], thus obtaining, for each $t > 0$, a Radon probability measure $\pi(t, dx)$ on $\mathcal{B}(\overline{K})$ such that

$$\rho_\alpha(\|\xi\|, t) = \int_{\overline{K}} \chi(\langle \xi, x \rangle) \pi(t, dx), \quad \xi \in K. \quad (27)$$

Just as in Sect. 3, we show that if $f(x) = \varphi(T_n(x))$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(K_n)$, then

$$\int_{\overline{K}} f(x) \pi(t, dx) = \|m_n\|^{-m_n} \int_{z \in K_n: \|z\| \leq q_n^{dn/mn} \|m_n\|} \Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}(m_n^{-1} z, t) \varphi(z) dz. \quad (28)$$

It follows from (28) that the measures $\pi(t, dx)$ are concentrated on the set S , and (28) remains valid for any $f \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$.

Since $\rho_\alpha(\|\xi\|, t_1 + t_2) = \rho_\alpha(\xi, t_1) \rho_\alpha(\xi, t_2)$, we find that $\pi(t_1 + t_2, \cdot) = \pi(t_1, \cdot) * \pi(t_2, \cdot)$. Hence the family of measures $\pi(t, dx)$ determines a spatially homogeneous Markov process $X(t)$ on $\overline{K}$ with the transition probabilities $P(t, x, \Lambda) = \pi(t, \Lambda - x)$, $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{K})$.

Let U_t be a corresponding semigroup of operators on the Banach space of bounded measurable functions on $\overline{K}$:

$$(U_t f)(x) = \int_{\overline{K}} f(x+y) \pi(t, dy).$$

Theorem 3 (a) $X(t)$ is a stochastically continuous and right-continuous Markov process on $\overline{K}$ without discontinuities of the second kind.

(b) The operator $-D^\alpha$ is the generator of the process $X(t)$, in the sense that for any $f \in \mathcal{E}(\overline{K})$ the function $u(x, t) = (U_t f)(x)$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + D^\alpha u = 0.$$

(c) The measure μ is an invariant measure for the process $X(t)$.

Proof. Let $f(x) = \varphi(T_n(x))$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(K_n)$. In accordance with (28), $u(x, t) = F_t(T_n(x))$ where

$$F_t(\zeta) = \|m_n\|^{-m_n} \int_{\|z\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n\|} \Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}(m_n^{-1}z, t) \varphi(\zeta + z) dz,$$

$\zeta \in K_n$. By the results from the preceding section, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + D^\alpha u = 0$ and also $u(x, t) \rightarrow f(x)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ which implies stochastic continuity.

Let us show that $X(t)$ is a cadlag process. By the Kinney-Dynkin criterion [Dy] and the definition of the topology in $\overline{K}$ it is sufficient to prove that for any compact set $B \subset \overline{K}$, any $N = 1, 2, \dots$, and any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in B} P(t, x, C_{\varepsilon, N}(x)) = 0 \tag{29}$$

where

$$C_{\varepsilon, N}(x) = \bigcup_{n=1}^N \{y \in \overline{K} : \|T_n(x - y)\| \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

We find by virtue of (28) that

$$\begin{aligned} P(t, x, C_{\varepsilon, N}(x)) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\|T_n(y)\| \geq \varepsilon} \pi(t, dy) = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \|m_n\|^{-m_n} \int_{z \in K_n: \varepsilon \leq \|z\| \leq q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n\|} \Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}(m_n^{-1}z, t) dz, \end{aligned}$$

and the equality (29) follows from the properties of $\Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}$ established above.

Finally, let

$$\mu_1(A) = \int_{\overline{K}} P(t, x, A) d\mu(x), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{K}),$$

and we need to prove that $\mu_1 = \mu$. Indeed, for any $\xi \in K$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\overline{K}} \chi(\langle \xi, x \rangle) d\mu_1(x) &= \int_{\overline{K}} d\mu(y) \int_{\overline{K}} \chi(\langle \xi, x \rangle) P(t, y, dx) = \\ &= \int_{\overline{K}} \chi(\langle \xi, y \rangle) d\mu(y) \int_{\overline{K}} \chi(\langle \xi, x \rangle) \pi(t, dx) = \rho_\alpha(\|\xi\|, t) \Omega(\xi) = \Omega(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

whence $\mu_1 = \mu$. $\square$

Remark. If $x \in S$, $\Lambda \cap S = \emptyset$, then $(\Lambda - x) \cap S = \emptyset$. Indeed, if $y \in \Lambda$ then

$$\|T_n(y)\| > q_n^{d_n/m_n} \|m_n\|$$

whence $\|T_n(y-x)\| = \|T_n(y)\|$ due to the ultra-metric property, so that $y-x \notin S$. Hence, a trajectory of the process X starting at a point from S remains in S almost surely.

This means that the part X_S of the process X in S is a non-exploding process. Since S is compact in a strong topology of $\overline{K}$ (which is a consequence of general properties of projective limits [RR]), it follows from Theorem I.9.4 of [BG] that X_S is a Hunt process.

3. If we deal with Galois extensions then as before we see from the definition of P that this transition probability is invariant with respect to the Galois group G .

Acknowledgment

This research was supported in part by grants from the International Science Foundation and the Ukrainian Fund for Fundamental Research.

References

- [AH] Albeverio, S. and Høegh-Krohn, R.: ‘Hunt Processes and Analytic Potential Theory on Rigged Hilbert Spaces’, *Ann. Inst. H.Poincaré. Sect. B.* **13** (1977), 269-291.
- [AK] Albeverio, S. and Karwowski, W.: ‘A Random Walk on p -adics - the Generator and its Spectrum’, *Stoch. Processes Appl.* **53** (1994), 1-22.

- [BG] Blumenthal, R.M. and Gettoor, R.K.: *Markov Processes and Potential Theory*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1968.
- [BK] Berezansky, Yu.M. and Kondratiev, Yu.G.: *Spectral Methods in Infinite-dimensional Analysis*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1995.
- [Bl] Blair, A.D.: ‘Adelic Path Space Integrals’, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **7** (1995), 21-50.
- [Bo] Bourbaki, N.: *Algèbre, Chap. V*, Hermann, Paris, 1950.
- [CF] Cassels, J.W.S. and Fröhlich, A. (eds.): *Algebraic Number Theory*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1967.
- [DF] Dalecky, Yu.L. and Fomin, S.V.: *Measures and Differential Equations in Infinite-dimensional Spaces*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [Dy] Dynkin, E.B.: *Theory of Markov Processes*, Pergamon, Oxford, 1960.
- [E1] Evans, S.N.: ‘Local Field Gaussian Measures’, In: E.Cinlar et al. (eds.), *Seminar on Stochastic Processes 1988*, pp. 121-160. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1989.
- [E2] Evans, S.N.: ‘Local Properties of Lévy Processes on a Totally Disconnected Group’, *J. Theor. Probab.* **2** (1989), 209-259.
- [E3] Evans, S.N.: ‘Equivalence and Perpendicularity of Local Field Gaussian Measures’, In: E.Cinlar et al. (eds.), *Seminar on Stochastic Processes 1990*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1991.
- [E4] Evans, S.N.: ‘Local Field Brownian Motion’, *J. Theor. Probab.* **6** (1993), 817-850.
- [FV] Fesenko, I.B. and Vostokov, S.V.: *Local Fields and Their Extensions: A Constructive Approach* (Transl. Math. Monographs, vol. 121). American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1993.
- [GGP] Gelfand, I.M., Graev, M.I. and Piatetski-Shapiro, I.I.: *Representation Theory and Automorphic Functions*, Philadelphia, Saunders, 1969.
- [Ha1] Haran, S.: ‘Analytic Potential Theory over the p -adics’, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **43** (1993), 905-944.

- [Ha2] Haran, S.: ‘Quantization and Symbolic Calculus over the p -adic Numbers’, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **43** (1993), 997-1053.
- [H] Hida, T.: *Brownian motion*, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [K] Koch, H.: *Galoissche Theorie der p -Erweiterungen*, Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1970.
- [K1] Kochubei, A.N.: ‘Parabolic Equations over the Field of p -adic Numbers’, *Math. USSR Izvestiya*, **39** (1992), 1263-1280.
- [K2] Kochubei, A.N.: ‘A Schrödinger Type Equation over the Field of p -adic Numbers’, *J. Math. Phys.* **34** (1993), 3420-3428.
- [K3] Kochubei, A.N.: ‘On p -adic Green Functions’, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **96** (1993), 854-865.
- [K4] Kochubei, A.N.: ‘The Differentiation Operator on Subsets of the Field of p -adic Numbers’, *Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math.* **41**, No. 2 (1993), 289-305.
- [K5] Kochubei, A.N.: ‘Stochastic Integrals and Stochastic Differential Equations over the Field of p -adic Numbers’, *Potential Anal.* **6** (1997), 105-125.
- [Kuo] Kuo, H.H.: *Gaussian Measures in Banach Spaces* (Lecture Notes Math., vol. 463), Springer, Berlin, 1975.
- [KV] Karwowski, W. and Vilela Mendes, R.: ‘Hierarchical Structures and Asymmetric Stochastic Processes on p -adics and Adeles’, *J. Math. Phys.* **35** (1994), 4637-4650.
- [Ma] Madrecki, A.: ‘Minlos Theorem in Non-Archimedean Locally Convex Spaces’, *Ann. Soc. Math. Polon. Comment. Math.* **30** (1990), 101-111.
- [Mo] Morita, Y.: ‘A p -adic Theory of Hyperfunctions I’, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **17** (1981), 1-24.
- [NBB] Narici, L., Beckenstein, E. and Bachman, G.: *Functional Analysis and Valuation Theory*, Dekker, New York, 1971.
- [PT] van der Put, M. and van Tiel, J.: ‘Espaces Nucléaires non Archimédiens’, *Indag. Math.* **29** (1967), 556-561.

- [RR] Robertson, A.P. and Robertson, W.: *Topological Vector Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1964.
- [ST] Sally, P.J. and Taibleson, M.H.: ‘Special Functions on Locally Compact Fields’, *Acta Math.* **116** (1966), 279-309.
- [Sa] Satoh, T.: ‘Wiener Measures on Certain Banach Spaces over Non-Archimedean Local Fields’, *Compositio Math.* **93** (1994), 81-108.
- [Sch] Schaefer, H.: *Topological Vector Spaces*, Macmillan, New York, 1966.
- [T] van Tiel, J.: ‘Espaces Localement K -convexes’, *Indag. Math.* **27** (1965), 249-289.
- [VTC] Vakhania, N.N., Tarieladze, V.I. and Chobanyan, S.A.: *Probability Distributions on Banach Spaces*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1987.
- [Va] Varadarajan, V.S.: ‘Path Integrals for a Class of p -Adic Schrödinger Equations’, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **39** (1997), 97-106.
- [VVZ] Vladimirov, V.S., Volovich, I.V. and Zelenov, E.I.: *p -Adic Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1994.
- [W] Weil, A.: *Basic Number Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [Y] Yasuda, K.: ‘Additive Processes on Local Fields’, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **3** (1996), 629-654.